NICU milk room safety

NICU Milk Room Safety Case

A **NICU milk room** has a strong evidence-based safety rationale because milk handling in intensive care is complex, error-prone, and vulnerable to both microbial contamination and wrong-patient or expired-feed events (Matus et al., 2019; Steele, 2015; Oza-Frank et al., 2017). The strongest case is for a **centralized, restricted-access preparation area** with trained staff, standardized HACCP-style procedures, temperature monitoring, and barcode-supported identification (Matus et al., 2019; Cossey et al., 2011).

Safety Rationale

Human milk is preferred in the NICU, but expressed milk is **not sterile**, so organisms can multiply when handling, storage, or transport are poor (Cossey et al., 2011; Gad et al., 2021; Mohamad et al., 2023; Cossey et al., 2012). Powdered infant formula is also non-sterile and has been associated with serious pathogens, whereas ready-to-feed products are described as sterile and lower risk for high-risk infants (Mohamad et al., 2023; Steele, 2015).

Milk safety failures in the NICU include contamination, feeding the **wrong milk to the wrong infant**, fortification mistakes, and use of expired milk (Matus et al., 2019; Steele, 2015; Oza-Frank et al., 2017). These events matter clinically because they can cause gastrointestinal illness, feeding intolerance, infectious exposure, and loss of family confidence (Matus et al., 2019; Steele, 2015).

What a Milk Room Adds

Centralized milk preparation appears to **reduce feeding-associated error** in the NICU, especially when paired with trained technicians and barcode systems (Matus et al., 2019). A separate milk room away from the bedside is also specifically endorsed in current neonatal care standards for mixing formula or additives to human milk .

Published NICU quality-improvement data showed scanned milk errors fell from **97.1 to 10.8 per 1000 bottles** over six years, with expired-milk errors dropping from 84.0 to 8.9, wrong-milk errors from 8.3 to 2.0, and preparation errors from 4.8 to 2.2 (Oza-Frank et al., 2017). That study attributed the largest gains to bedside scanners plus **dedicated staff to handle milk**, reinforcing the value of task specialization even when scanning occurs outside the room itself (Oza-Frank et al., 2017).

Key Milk Room Controls

Control	Why It Matters	Evidence
Dedicated preparation area	Fewer interruptions and less bedside contamination risk	(Mohamad et al., 2023)
Trained milk handlers/technicians	Standardizes preparation and lowers error risk	(Mohamad et al., 2023; Matus et al., 2019)
HACCP-based workflow	Identifies hazards and critical control points	(Mohamad et al., 2023; Cossey et al., 2012)
Temperature monitoring	Limits bacterial growth during storage	(Cossey et al., 2011; Feng et al., 2026)

Control	Why It Matters	Evidence
Barcode identification	Prevents wrong-patient, expired, and prep errors	(Matus et al., 2019; Oza-Frank et al., 2017)

FIGURE 1 Core safety controls supported across the NICU milk room literature.

Operational Risks to Control

Observed contamination risks extend beyond the hospital room itself to expression, home storage, transport, and container hygiene, which is why the milk room needs standardized intake checks rather than assuming incoming milk is safe (Cossey et al., 2011; Gad et al., 2021). In one NICU study, **89.8% of samples** were contaminated, with risk linked to poor hand hygiene, delayed refrigeration, mixing warm fresh milk into already refrigerated milk, and longer transport time (Gad et al., 2021).

Donor milk systems show the same need for controlled processing: in a six-year milk bank dataset, **40.7% of donor samples** were excluded before pasteurization for contamination, but all 302 pasteurized samples were pathogen-free (Dalili et al., 2026). Upgraded hygiene and culturing procedures reduced discard rates and markedly lowered Staphylococcus epidermidis contamination, showing that procedural design can materially improve safety (Dalili et al., 2026).

Practice variation across NICUs is wide, which itself argues for a formal milk room program with quality control rather than ad hoc bedside handling (Cossey et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2026). Surveys found inconsistent bacterial count limits, variable refrigerator storage times, incomplete pasteurization capacity, 87% refrigerator temperature logging, and only 55% breast-milk bacterial culturing in one regional sample (Cossey et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2026).

A NICU milk room is therefore justified as a **patient-safety infrastructure**: it centralizes high-risk preparation steps, supports trained handlers, enables HACCP and temperature control, and works best when integrated with barcode verification and standardized policies across collection, storage, fortification, and administration. The literature also shows that milk rooms are not sufficient alone; safety depends on the full chain from maternal expression and transport to final bedside scan and feed (Matus et al., 2019; Cossey et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2019; Carroll, 2014).

References

Carroll, K. (2014). Body dirt or liquid gold? How the 'safety' of donated breastmilk is constructed for use in neonatal intensive care. *Social Studies of Science, 44*, 466 - 485. https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312714521705

Cossey, V., Jeurissen, A., Thelissen, M., Vanhole, C., & Schuermans, A. (2011). Expressed breast milk on a neonatal unit: a hazard analysis and critical control points approach.. *American journal of infection control, 39 10*, 832-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.01.019

Cossey, V., Johansson, A., De Halleux, V., & Vanhole, C. (2012). The Use of Human Milk in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: Practices in Belgium and Luxembourg. *Breastfeeding Medicine, 7*, 302 - 306. https://doi.org/10.1089/bfm.2011.0112

Dalili, H., Shariat, M., Kashani, H. A., & Sahebi, L. (2026). Microbial safety of donor human milk before pasteurization: seasonal, maternal, and procedural determinants. *International Breastfeeding Journal, 21*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13006-025-00806-5

Feng, D., Qin, D.-Y., Song, Y.-J., Cheng, Z.-H., R., & Wang, F. (2026). [Current status of breast milk management in neonatal intensive care units in Gansu Province].. *Zhongguo dang dai er ke za zhi = Chinese journal of contemporary pediatrics, 28 2*, 206-212. https://doi.org/10.7499/j.issn.1008-8830.2412126

Gad, S., Sheta, M. M., Al-Khalafawi, A. I., El-Fadl, H. A. A., Anany, M., Sahmoud, S., & Amin, M. (2021). Expressed Breast Milk Contamination in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Pediatric Health, Medicine and Therapeutics, 12*, 307 - 313. https://doi.org/10.2147/phmt.s311632

Matus, B. A., Bridges, K., & Logomarsino, J. (2019). Evaluation of Key Factors Impacting Feeding Safety in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: A Systematic Review. *Advances in Neonatal Care, 19*, 11–20. https://doi.org/10.1097/anc.0000000000000516

Mohamad, M., Ishak, S., & Sani, N. A. (2023). Identification of Sensitive Raw Materials at A Milk Preparation Room of A Neonatal Intensive Care Unit in the Canselor Tuanku Muhriz Hospital. *Malaysian Applied Biology*. https://doi.org/10.55230/mabjournal.v52i1.2528

Oza-Frank, R., Kachoria, R., Dail, J., Green, J., Walls, K., & McClead, R. (2017). A Quality Improvement Project to Decrease Human Milk Errors in the NICU. *Pediatrics, 139*. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-4451

Steele, C. (2015). X. Centralized Breast Milk Handling and Bar Code Scanning Improve Patient Safety. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, 61*, S14–S15. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mpg.0000471458.24039.50

Sun, H., Han, S., Cheng, R., Hei, M., Kakulas, F., & Lee, S. K. (2019). Testing the feasibility and safety of feeding preterm infants fresh mother's own milk in the NICU: A pilot study. *Scientific Reports, 9*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37111-7